

Panaji, 20th December, 2013 (Agrahayana 29, 1935)

SERIES II No. 38

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE

## GOVERNMENT OF GOA



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

#### Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

#### Order

No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS(Part)/4423

Read: 1) Order No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS (Part) Vol. II/4 dated 30-6-2011.

2) Order No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS/2514 dated 28-9-2012.

3) Order No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS/1535 dated 13-5-2013.

4) Order No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS/(Part)/2340 dated 18-07-2013.

The ad hoc appointment of Shri Avit S. Naik, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies on the establishment of Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Government of Goa, Panaji made vide order cited above is hereby extended for a period of six months w.e.f. 30-12-2013 to 29-06-2014.

This is issued with the concurrence of the Goa Public Service Commission, Panaji vide letter No. COM/II/11/11/1(1)/04/728 dated 06-12-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*J. B. Bhingui*, Registrar of Co-op. Societies & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 12th December, 2013.

#### Order

No. 1-4-76-EST/RCS/Part-I/3534

Government is pleased to promote the following Sr. Auditors/Sr. Inspectors/Special Recovery Officer, on the establishment of the Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Government of Goa, to the post of Co-operative Officer (Group 'B'), Non-Gazetted on ad hoc basis in the PB—2, ₹ 9,300-34,800+₹ 4,200/- and posted at the places shown against each of their name:

Sr. No.	Name of the Official	Place of present posting	Place of posting on promotion	Head of Account against which salary and allowances are debited in each post
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Shri D. J. Pangam	Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa	Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa	Demand No. 71 2425 — Co-operation, 00 —, 101 — Audit of Co-operatives, 01 — Audit (Non-Plan), 01 — Salaries.
2.	Shri Suraj S. Ghaisas	Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Central Zone, Panaji-Goa	Office of Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Head Office, Panaji	Demand No. 71 2425 — Co-operation, 00 —, 001 — Direction & Administration, 02 — Superintendence (Non-Plan), 01 — Salaries.

1	2	3	4	5
3.	Shri M. N. Kalangutkar (PD)	Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa	Office of Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Head Office, Panaji	Demand No. 71 2425 — Co-operation, 00 —, 001 — Direction & Administration, 02 — Superintendence (Non-Plan), 01 — Salaries.
4.	Shri Pankaj V. Marathe	Office of Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Head Office, Panaji	Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Ponda Zone, Ponda-Goa	Demand No. 71 2425 — Co-operation, 00 —, 001 — Audit of Co-operatives, 01 — Audit (Non-Plan), 01 — Salaries.

The appointment is purely on ad hoc basis, initially for a period of one year and will not bestow on the appointee any claim for regular appointment promotion to higher post and seniority in the grade.

This order shall come into force with effect from the date of taking over the charge of the post.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*J. B. Bhingui*, Registrar of Co-op. Societies & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 15th October, 2013.



## Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Higher Education

### Order

No. 1/1-97/GIM-DHE(Part-II)/2904

In terms of clause 6 of the MOU signed between Government of Goa and Goa Institute of Management, the Government is pleased to nominate Secretary Education as a Government nominee on the Board of Directors of Goa Institute of Management, Sanquelim, Goa.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Vinayak R. Kurtikar*, Under Secretary (Higher Education).

Porvorim, 11th December, 2013.

## Department of Home

Home—General Division

### Order

No. 1/76/2012-HD(G)/GHRC/4099

Sanction of the Government is hereby accorded to extend the re-employment period of Shri Rohidas M. Naik, retired Assistant Accounts Officer of the Accounts Cadre as Section Officer in the Goa Human Rights Commission, with effect from 17-12-2013 to 31-05-2014 in terms of C.C.S. (Fixation of Pay of Re-employed Pensioners) Order, 1986.

The expenditure on the above will be met from the Budget Head Demand No. 23, 2070—Other Administrative Services, 00, 105—Special Commission of Enquiry, 03—Goa State Human Rights Commission (N. P.), 01—Salaries.

This issues with the approval of the Cabinet vide its LIInd meeting of the Council of Ministers

held on 04-12-2013 and concurrence of the Finance (Rev & Cont) Department vide their U.O. No. 1485762/F dated 08-11-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Neetal P. Amonkar*, Under Secretary (Home).

Porvorim, 12th December, 2013.



## Department of Labour

### Order

No. 22/26/2006-Lab/690

Government is pleased to re-constitute a Committee consisting of the following members with a view to have an uniformity in fixation of Minimum Rates of Wages in employment falling outside the purview of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 as under:

1. The Commissioner of Labour — Chairman.  
and Employment, Panaji-Goa
2. The Principal, Chief Engineer,— Member.  
P.W.D., Panaji-Goa or his  
authorized nominee
3. The Chief Engineer, Water — Member.  
Resources Department,  
Panaji-Goa or his authorized  
nominee
4. The Chief Electrical Engineer, — Member.  
Electricity Department,  
Panaji-Goa or his authorized  
nominee
5. The Director of Planning, — Member.  
Statistics and Evaluation,  
Panaji-Goa
6. The Joint Secretary (Fin-Exp), — Member.  
Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa

The Committee will study the issue of fixation of pay in detail and submit the report with recommendations to the Government for fixation of Minimum Rates of Wages for daily rated Casual Labourers engaged in the various Government Departments/Autonomous Bodies/Corporation & Other Institutions, etc. They may take financial aspects into consideration while making their recommendations.

The Committee should submit its report/}/recommendation within two months from the date of issue of this order.

This issues in supersession of earlier order dated 30-12-2008.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 4th October, 2013.

### Order

No. 28/21/2011-Lab/776

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of the M/s. GKB Hi-Tech Lenses Private Limited, Tivim Industrial Estate, Karaswada, Mapusa, Goa, and it's Workmen, represented by the Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

### SCHEDULE

- "(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. GKB Hi-Tech Lenses Private Limited, Plot No. 50, Tivim Industrial Estate, Tivim, Karaswada, Mapusa-Goa, in termination the services of 142 Workmen shown in Annexure hereto with effect from 06-09-2013, is legal justified?
- (2) If not, to what relief the Workman are entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Shashank V. Thakur*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st November, 2013.

### ANNEXURE

Sr. No.	Names	Designation
1	2	3
1.	Dattahas Tilve	Asst. Supervisor.
2.	Samir Sawant	Operator.

1	2	3	1	2	3
3.	Satish Shivji	Operator.	57.	Sanjiv Sawant	Jr. Operator.
4.	Mithun Khorjuvekar	Jr. Operator.	58.	Santosh Govenkar	Operator.
5.	Deepak Rawool	Jr. Operator.	59.	Santosh Thakarkar	Supervisor.
6.	Pramod Dhuri	Operator.	60.	Saroj Mishra	Sr. Operator.
7.	Mangesh Gawandi	Operator.	61.	Sarika Naik	Operator.
8.	Manohar Naik	Operator.	62.	Savalo Dhulogi	Operator.
9.	Prasad Thakur	Operator.	63.	Sharad Shetkar	Jr. Operator.
10.	Harishchandra Naik	Operator.	64.	Sunny Jaswal	Jr. Operator.
11.	Ravindra Morajkar	Operator.	65.	Sushant Salkar	Sr. Operator.
12.	Rupesh Mandrekar	Asst. Supervisor.	66.	Vilas Sawant	Operator.
13.	Vasudev Thakur	Sr. Operator.	67.	Shambhu Singh	Jr. Operator.
14.	Bibujha	Sr. Operator.	68.	Pradeep Patel	Jr. Operator.
15.	Dhananjay Desai	Sr. Operator.	69.	Leena Tamankar	Operator.
16.	Rupesh Naroji	Operator.	70.	Mona Chari	Operator.
17.	Vicky Pednekar	Operator.	71.	Akshada Talavaneekar	Sr. Operator.
18.	Prashant Amerkar	Jr. Operator.	72.	Rashmi Dhargalkar	Sr. Operator.
19.	Babulal Choupal	Sr. Operator.	73.	Suchita Ajgaonkar	Asst. Supervisor.
20.	James Noronha	Operator.	74.	Supriya Yeshe	Operator.
21.	Dattaram Desai	Operator.	75.	Shubhangi Gawas	Sr. Operator.
22.	Devendra Mayekar	Operator.	76.	Jayesh Naik	Operator.
23.	Sagar Parab	Asst. Supervisor.	77.	Dnyaneshwar Parab	Jr. Operator.
24.	Nitin Khorjuvekar	Sr. Operator.	78.	Janardan Sawal	Asst. Supervisor.
25.	Sushil Naroji	Operator.	79.	Vinit Bhise	Jr. Operator.
26.	Sushant Naik	Sr. Operator.	80.	Krishna Dabholkar	Operator.
27.	Devidas Shetkar	Operator.	81.	Vikas Adarkar	Sr. Operator.
28.	Sachin Naroji	Jr. Operator.	82.	Mahesh Ghadi	Asst. Supervisor.
29.	Sanjay Usapkar	Jr. Operator.	83.	Govind Dalvi	Operator.
30.	Hemant Shetkar	Jr. Operator.	84.	Chandrakant Ghadi	Jr. Operator.
31.	Mahesh Shirodkar	Jr. Operator.	85.	Sachin Gawade	Operator.
32.	Navanath Sawant	Sr. Operator.	86.	Vishwanath Raul	Sr. Operator.
33.	Sachin Chodankar	Sr. Operator.	87.	Virendra Dhargalkar	Sr. Operator.
34.	Vijay Pednekar	Sr. Operator.	88.	Archana Kavalekar	Sr. Operator.
35.	Bhushan Raul	Operator.	89.	Bindiya Mandrekar	Operator.
36.	Saachi Bhonsle	Jr. Operator.	90.	Mamata Naik	Operator.
37.	Pritesh Naik	Sr. Operator.	91.	Anupama Morje	Sr. Operator.
38.	Premanand Jadhav	Operator.	92.	Sushila Revodkar	Operator.
39.	Anand Bandekar	Operator.	93.	Bhagwan Jha	Sr. Operator.
40.	Anand Nagvekar	Sr. Operator.	94.	Urvi Bandodkar	Supervisor.
41.	Gajanan Kavlekar	Sr. Operator.	95.	Sanjyoti Naik	Supervisor.
42.	Balaram Malgaonkar	Jr. Operator.	96.	Smriti Mayekar	Supervisor.
43.	Bhagwat Govekar	Operator.	97.	Arti Garudi	Warehouse Asst.
44.	Chandrakant Govekar	Sr. Operator.	98.	Pushpa Ragaji	Sr. warehse Asst.
45.	Ganesh Patekar	Sr. Operator.	99.	Rameshwari Raul	Sr. warehse Asst.
46.	Mahesh Mandrekar	Operator.	100.	Tanvi Kinlekar	Sr. warehse Asst.
47.	Mukesh Thakur	Operator.	101.	Sanika Mandrekar	Jr. Operator.
48.	Prajesh Jha	Sr. Operator.	102.	Jitendra Gawade	Assistant
49.	Rajesh Redkar	Sr. Operator.	103.	Arvind Sawant	Assistant.
50.	Rameshwar Tamankar	Operator.	104.	Manohar Madkaikar	Operator.
51.	Ravi Sawant	Operator.	105.	Sajjan Naik	Jr. Operator.
52.	Rupesh Fadte	Sr. Operator.	106.	Babali Kambli	Operator.
53.	Samir Parab	Operator.	107.	Rameshwar Naik	Jr. Operator.
54.	Sangeeta Shirodkar	Sr. Operator.	108.	Nitin Govekar	Driver.
55.	Sanjay Shetye	Jr. Operator.	109.	Laxman Kesarkar	Asst. Supervisor.
56.	Sanjay Kalangutkar	Sr. Operator.	110.	Amit Talavaneekar	Jr. Operator.

1	2	3
111. Uday Palyekar	Driver.	
112. Suhas Redekar	Driver.	
113. Kiran Sawant	Sr. Operator.	
114. Rupesh Kasar	Jr. Operator.	
115. Ramchandra Naik	Sr. Operator.	
116. Vasant Vijapure	Sr. Operator.	
117. Raghunath Gawade	Jr. Operator.	
118. Krishnanath Shet	Operator.	
119. Rupesh Raul	Sr. Operator.	
120. Ravindra Malik	Operator.	
121. Vikas Naik Arondekar	Sr. Operator.	
122. Dhondu Dalvi	Operator.	
123. Roshan Jha	Operator.	
124. Laxmi Naik	Sweeper.	
125. Leela Mahadan	Sweeper.	
126. Asha Langote	Sweeper.	
127. Rajashree Parsekar	Sweeper.	
128. Sugandh Naik	Sweeper.	
129. Gautam Shetgaonkar	Operator.	
130. Shashikant Parab	Operator.	
131. Uday Jha	Gardener.	
132. Vinod Kerkar	Jr. Operator.	
133. Pooja Chopdekar	Operator.	
134. Jeevan Mainikar	Operator.	
135. Shridhar Pai	Sr. Operator.	
136. Mustak Sheikh	Sr. Operator.	
137. Bidesh Chodankar	Sr. Operator.	
138. Balmukund Mishra	Sr. Operator.	
139. Santosh Kholkar	Sr. Operator.	
140. Suresh Parit	Sr. Operator.	
141. Padamsingh Jatav	Sr. Operator.	
142. Shankar Shahu	Operator.	

-----

**Notification**

No. 24/19/2005-Lab/PF/658

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 (Central Act 37 of 1970) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), read with Rule 3 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Rules, 1972, and in supersession of the Government Notification No. 24/19/2005-Lab/13 dated 5th January, 2006, the Government of Goa hereby re-constitutes the State Advisory Contract Labour Board (hereinafter called the 'State Board') consisting of the following persons to advise the Government on such matters arising out of the administration of the said Act as may be referred to it and to carry out such other functions assigned to it under the said Act.

- |   |   |                       |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| 1) Minister for Labour,<br>Government of Goa                    | — | Chairman.             |
| 2) Commissioner, Labour<br>and Employment,<br>Government of Goa | — | Ex-Officio<br>Member. |

**Non-Official Member**

- |   |   |         |
|---|---|---------|
| 3) President, Goa Barge<br>Owners' Association<br>Vasco-da-Gama   | — | Member. |
| 4) Shri Ramesh Kamat,<br>M/s. Kamat Constructions<br>Pvt. Ltd., Panaji  | — | Member. |
| 5) President, Goa Mineral Ore<br>Exporters' Association,<br>Panaji  | — | Member. |
| 6) M/s. Dempo Shipbuilding<br>and Shipyard Ltd.,<br>Banguinim, Old-Goa  | — | Member. |
| 7) President, Goa Trade and<br>Commercial Workers'<br>Union (AITUC),<br>Velho's Bldg., Panaji                                 | — | Member. |
| 8) President/Convener INTUC<br>(Goa Branch)   | — | Member. |
| 9) President/General Secretary,<br>All Goa General Employees<br>Union, CITU   | — | Member. |
| 10) President, United Bargemen's<br>Association (HMS) Damodar<br>Polyclinic, 3rd floor, Fr. Joseph<br>Vaz Road, Vasco-da-Gama | — | Member. |

**Official Members**

- |  |   |         |
|--|---|---------|
| 11) Principal Chief Engineer,<br>Public Works Department,<br>Government of Goa | — | Member. |
| 12) Chief Electrical Engineer,<br>Electricity Department,<br>Government of Goa | — | Member. |
| 13) Director of Industries,<br>Trade & Commerce,<br>Government of Goa          | — | Member. |

By order and in the name of the Governor  
of Goa.

Meena Priolkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 23rd September, 2013.



**Order**

No. 28/46/2013-Lab/697

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of the Saraswat Co-operative Bank Limited, Mapusa Branch, Goa, and it's Workman Shri Saleel S. Naik, Office Assistant, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute"),

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether the action of the management of the Saraswat Co-operative Bank Limited, Mapusa Branch, Goa, in dismissing Shri Saleel S. Naik, Office Assistant, from service, with effect from 11-12-2012, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, what relief the Workman is entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).  
Porvorim, 14th October, 2013.

**Order**

No. 28/44/2013-Lab/638

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Ramada Caravela Beach Resort Goa, Varca, Beach, Salcete, Goa, and it's Workman represented by the Ramada Renaissance Resort Employees Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute"),

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section

10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Ramada Caravela Beach Resort Goa, Varca, in not conceding to the demand of it's Workmen represented the Ramada Renaissance Resort Employees Union, for Payment of 20% bonus for the accounting year 2011-12 without salary limits, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, what relief each of the Workmen are entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).  
Porvorim, 18th September, 2013.

**Order**

No. 28/41/2013-Lab/635

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Hotel Cidade de Goa, Vanguinim Beach, Dona Paula, Goa, and it's Workman represented by the Cidade de Goa Hotel Employees Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Hotel Cidade de Goa, Vanguinim Beach, Dona Paula, Goa, in reducing the service charges payable to the employees by making the deductions towards the labour charges and breakage, with effect from April, 2009, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, what relief the Workman are entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 18th September, 2013.

-----  
**Order**

No. 28/43/2013-Lab/628

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Ramada Caravela Beach Resort Goa, Varca Beach, Salcete, Goa and it's Workman represented by the Ramada Renaissance Resort Employees Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether the demand of Ramada Renaissance Resort Employees Union for payment of full wages for the period from 20-08-2012 to 31-08-2012 i.e. the period of suspension of operations by the management of M/s. Ramada Carvala Beach Resort Goa, Varca, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief each of the Workman are entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 17th Septemebr, 2013.

-----  
**Order**

No. 28/40/2013-Lab/627

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Carlo Menze Group Ventures Private Limited, Panaji, Goa and it's

Workman, Shri Tomas F. S. Machado, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

"(1) Whether Shri Tomas F. S. Machado is a "Workman" as per section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947)?

(2) If the answer to issue No. (1) above is in the affirmative, than, whether the action of the management of M/s. Carlo Menze Group Ventures Private Limited, Panaji, in terminating the services of Shri Thomas F. S. Machado, with effect from 23-07-2012, is legal and justified?

(3) If the answer to issue No. (2) above is in the negative, then what relief the Workman is entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 17th September, 2013.

-----  
**Order**

No. 28/36/2013-Lab/578

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Apna Bazar Co-operative, Aquem, Goa and it's Workman Shri Deepak S. Shinde, Shop Assistant, represented by the Goa Trade and Commercial Workers' Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central

Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the severance of employee-employer relationship between Shri Deepak S. Shinde, Shop Assistant, and the management of M/s. Apna Bazar Co-operative, Aquem, Margao, Goa, with effect from 01-11-2010 is a consequence of refusal of employment by the said management or an instance of voluntary resignation by the Workman?

(2) In either case, to what relief the Workman is entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st August, 2013.

#### Order

No. 28/38/2013-Lab/577

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Ananya Manpower Solutions Private Limited, Panaji, a contractor to M/s. GKB Ophthalmics Limited, Thivim Industrial Estate, Karaswada, Mapusa, Goa, and it's Workman represented by the Goa Trade and Commercial Workers' Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Ananya Manpower Solutions Private Limited, Panaji, a contractor to M/s. GKB Ophthalmics Limited, Thivim Industrial

Estate, Karaswada, Mapusa, Goa, in terminating the services of the following seven Workmen, with effect from 26-03-2013, is legal and justified?

- 1) Shri Sameer P. Agarwadekar.
- 2) Shri Pratmesh Kadam.
- 3) Shri Manish Karbodar.
- 4) Shri Satyavan Manale.
- 5) Shri Ram Kerkar.
- 6) Ms. Shevanti N. Patkar.
- 7) Shri Prashant Mayekar.

(2) If not, to what relief the Workman are entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st August, 2013.

#### Notification

No. 28/1/2013-Lab/442

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal and Labour Court at Panaji-Goa on 02-05-2013 in reference No. IT/64/03 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Meena Priolkar*, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 1st July, 2013.

#### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND LABOUR COURT

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Bimba K. Thaly, Presiding  
Officer)

Ref. No. IT/64/03

Shri Sukdo Naik,  
C/o Sudhakar Kaskar,  
St. Anthony Wada, Guirim,  
Bardez, Goa.

....Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Gregory & Nicholas,  
No. 377/2, BOAQUEACHI  
Aradi, Parra,  
Bardez, Goa

.... Employer/Party II



Workman/Party I absent, not represented.  
Employer/Party II represented by Adv. Shri V. Menezes.

#### AWARD

(Passed on this 2nd day of May, 2013)

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), (for short the Act), the Government of Goa by order dated 09-09-2003 bearing No. 28/38/2003-LAB has referred the following dispute for adjudication.

“(1) Whether Shri Sukdo Naik Asstt. Maintenance, can be construed as a ‘Workman’ as per clause (s) of Section 2 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947?

(2) If the answer to the issue No. (1) above is in the affirmative, then, whether the action of the management of M/s. Gregory & Nicholas, Parra, Bardez-Goa in terminating the services of Shri Sukdo Naik, Asstt. Maintenance, with effect from 1-3-2003, is legal and justified?”

(3) If the answer to issue No. (2) above is in the negative, then what relief if any, the Workman is entitled to?”

2. Upon receipt of the reference, IT/64/03 was registered. Notices were issued to both the parties under registered post, upon which both the parties were served. Party I filed the claim statement at Exb. 4. Party II filed written statement at Exb. 6.

3. It is in Short the case of Party I that he joined the services of Party II as operator on 29-2-96 and was holding the position of Asstt. Maintenance at the time of termination of his services vide letter dated 28-2-03. It is further his case that by letter dated 12-3-03 he raised the dispute regarding his illegal termination from service. It is stated that he was not issued show cause notice or charge sheet prior to termination of his services and even no enquiry was conducted before taking decision of terminating his services. It is stated that he was a permanent Workman and was not performing either managerial or supervisory duties. It is also the case of Party I that his services were terminated by way of retrenchment without complying Section 25F of the Act. Party I has therefore prayed to declare that the action of Party II in terminating his services in contravention of the provisions of Section 25F as illegal and bad in law and to award him the relief of retrenchment compensation.

4. In the written statement Party II has denied the case set up by Party I and has stated that Party I is not the Workman as defined under the Act. It

is also the case of Party II that on account of financial crises Party II has to reduce the surplus staff and accordingly issued notices to each of the surplus Workmen terminating their services by way of retrenchment. It is stated that Party I was a supervisor discharging supervisory functions and therefore not the Workman u/s 2(s) of the Act. It is stated that Party II reduced the workforce which included Party I and that all legal dues were offered in full and final settlement to the concerned employee and the said employee accepted the same. It is stated that thereafter at the request of concerned employee a certificate to that effect stating that he was relieved from his services was also given to the employee. It is stated that at the request of Party I and on compassionate grounds Party I was informed that the termination letter dated 28-2-03 had been revoked and he was given a letter dated 29-4-03 asking to continue in service and accordingly was asked to report for work but despite receipts of this letter Party I failed to join the duty. It is further the contention of Party II that there is no industrial dispute at all and even on merits the claim is not justified and therefore the reference to be rejected.

5. On the basis of the above averments of the respective parties issues dated 11-2-2004 at Exb.7 were framed:

6. Party I, Shri Sukdo Naik was examined Partly. He did not present himself for giving the further statement despite opportunities and hence his evidence was closed. Party II examined Shri Keshavanand Morajkar as witness No. 1 and closed their case.

7. Since Party I and his advocate stopped appearing before the court after partly examining Party I, the arguments of learned advocate Shri V. Menezes for Party II, were heard.

8. In his arguments Lnd. advocate for Party II submitted that the burden to prove that he was a “Workman” is on Party I. He stated that Party I after he was partly examined in chief, did not remain present before the Court for further evidence and being so there is no cross examination of Party I and in such situation his evidence cannot be looked into. He stated that Party I has therefore failed to prove that he is a Workman and in such situation noting remains to be adjudicated by this Court. Thus, he stated that no relief could be granted to Party I.

9. I have gone through the records of the case and have duly considered the submissions made by the learned advocate for Party II. I am reproducing herewith the issues alongwith their findings and reasons thereof.

Sr. No.	Issues	Findings
1. Whether the Party I proves that he is a 'Workman' within the meaning of Sec. 2(S) of I. D. Act, 1947?		In the negative
2. Whether the Party I proves that the Party II terminated his services in contravention of the provisions of Sec. 25-F of the I. D. Act, 1947?		In the negative
3. Whether the Party I proves that the action of the Party II in terminating his services w.e.f. 1-3-2003 is illegal and unjustified?		In the negative
4. Whether the Party II proves that the termination of the services of the Party I was revoked by letter dated 29-4-2003 but the Party I failed to report of work?		In the positive
5. Whether the Party I is entitled to any relief?		In the negative
6. What Award?		As per below

#### REASONS

10. *Issue No. 1:* As rightly pointed out by the learned advocate for Party II, the burden to prove this issue lies on Party I. Nonetheless, records reveal that Party I was partly examined in chief and his further examination in chief was deferred for the reasons that the date of termination of service as mentioned in the order of reference by the Government, was incorrect and that the Party I wanted to move the Government for correcting the same. It is pertinent to note that this date was never got corrected by the Party I and also that Party I did not step into the witness box any further for further examination in chief. Consequently, there was no cross examination of Party I. As a result the deposition of Party I which has been partly recorded losses weight and hence it cannot be considered. This being the situation, it becomes clear that Party I has failed to discharge the burden of proving issue No. 1.

11. Having failed to prove this issue, it can be safely concluded that Party I is not a Workman within the meaning of Section 2(S) of the I.D. Act,

1947 and consequently this court losses jurisdiction to adjudicate this reference. Hence my findings.

12. *Issue No. 2 & 3:* Both these issues are taken up for discussion together in the light of findings given on issue No. 1 above. Having come to the conclusion that Party No. 1 is not a Workman, the question of Party II complying with the provisions of Section 25F of the Act does not arise and consequently the action of Party II in terminating the services of Party I in contravention of the mandatory provisions contained in Section 25F of the Act, cannot be called as illegal and unjustified.

13. Be that as it may, vide issue No. 3 Party I is required to prove that the action of Party II in terminating his services w.e.f. 1-3-2003 is illegal and unjustified. It may be mentioned here that in the statement of claim it is the case of Party I that Party II terminated his services vide letter dated 28-2-03. It is however noted that the schedule of reference at No. (2) indicates that the termination of services of Party I was w.e.f. 1-3-2003, which means that the terms of reference forwarded to this Court shows the incorrect date or vice-versa. In the judgement in the case of Suresh Chandra v/s General Manager, Rajasthan State Bridge and Construction Corporation 2002 (94) FLR 843, the Workman had raised and industrial dispute on the subject of termination of his services w.e.f. 1-3-1987 but the Appropriate Government made the reference as "whether the termination of services of Workman Suresh Chandra w.e.f. 1-3-1989 was justified and if not, to what relief he was entitled for". The Labour Court made the award recording the findings of fact that the Workman had worked till 28-2-87 and awarded compensation to the Workman. It was held that the Labour Court could not have amended the reference by holding that the services stood terminated w.e.f. 1-3-1987. It was also held that the Industrial Tribunal has no jurisdiction to go beyond the terms of reference and to enquire into the question which is involved in the reference and if it does so, the award is nullity and would not confer any right upon the Party. The above judgment is referred only to show that even if this court had held that Party I herein was a Workman, in the light of the case set up by the Party I in the claim statement that his termination was w.e.f. 28-2-03, Party I would fail in proving issue No. 3 and consequently would not succeed in getting any relief.

14. At any rate, in the light of findings of issue No. 1 nothing survives for deciding issue No. 2 and 3 and hence they are answered in the negative.

15. *Issue No. 4:* In their written statement it is the averment of Party II that at the request of Party I and on compassionate grounds, Party I was informed that the termination letter dated 28-2-03 has been revoked and he was given a letter dated 29-4-03 asking to continue in service and accordingly was asked to report for work but Party I failed to join the duty. Records reveal that Party I chose not to file rejoinder and as a result the above averment made by Party II in the written statement has remained uncontroverted. Even for that matter, in his evidence Shri Keshavanand Morajkar has reiterated the above averment made by Party II in the written statement and this witness having not been cross examined by Party I, such statement gains significance, to say that termination of services of Party I was revoked by letter dated 29-4-03 but Party I failed to report for work. Hence my findings.

16. *Issue No. 5:* In view of discussion supra, it becomes clear that Party I is not entitled to any relief. Hence my findings.

17. In the result, I pass the following:

#### ORDER

1. It is hereby held that Party I, Shri Sukdo, Naik, Asstt. Maintenance, is not a 'Workman' as per clause (s) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.
2. Party I, Shri Sukdo Naik is therefor not entitled to any relief.

No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

(B. K. THALY)  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal-cum-  
Labour Court.

#### Department of Law & Judiciary

Law (Establishment) Division

#### Order

No. LS/1077/93-Part I(2)/2170

Government of Goa is pleased to accept the resignation tendered by Shri Sahish Mahambrey, as Additional Government Advocate, High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Panaji Bench, Goa with effect from 01-11-2013.

Shri Sahish Mahambrey shall return all the briefs pending with him if any, to the Office of Ld. Advocate General, Altinho, Panaji under intimation to this Department.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary  
(Law-Estt)/PIO.

Porvorim, 11th December, 2013.

#### Department of Personnel

#### Order

File No. 5/16/2006-PER

- Read: 1) Order No. 6/13/2009-PER (Vol.I) dated 08-10-2013.  
2) Order No. 6/13/2009-PER dated 26-06-2013.  
3) Order No. 6/12/2011-PER dated 23-05-2013.  
4) Order No. 6/4/91-PER (Part III) dated 04-12-2012.

Sanction of the Government is hereby accorded to temporarily upgrade the post of Deputy Director (Administration), Public Works Department, to that of Director (Administration), Public Works Department in the rank of Senior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service in the pay scale of ₹ 15,600-39,100+GP 6,600/- on co-terminus basis with the term of Smt. Madhura Naik, Senior Scale Officer and thereafter reverting back to its original status of Junior Scale Post.

Smt. Naik has resumed duties of Deputy Director (Administration), Public Works Department w.e.f. 05-12-2012 on promotion.

This issues with the recommendation of Administrative Reforms Department vide U.O. No. 1599/F dated 25-9-2013 and concurrence of Finance (R & C) Department vide U. O. No. 1448489 dated 16-10-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary  
(Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 13th December, 2013.

**Addendum**

File No. 15/13/2004-PER

Read: Order No. 15/13/2004-PER dated 23-10-2013.

In the above referred order dated 23-10-2013, the following sentence shall be added to the last line of para 2 as indicated as below:

The above order is issued in public interest.

R. Aga, Under Secretary (Personnel-II).

Porvorim, 27th November, 2013.

**Corrigendum**

File No. 3/1/80-PER-Vol.IV

Read: Government Order No. 3/1/80-PER-Vol.IV dated 21-08-2013.

In the above referred order dated 21-08-2013, the date of joining mentioned as "12-08-2013" in the last para shall be substituted to read as "11-08-2013".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Addl. Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 06th December, 2013.

◆◆◆

**Department of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation**

Directorate of Planning, Statistics &amp; Evaluation

**Order**

No. 7/A-3/2007/DPSE/ADMN/3392

Smt. Sunita Arlekar Statistical Officer, promoted on ad hoc basis vide Order No. 4/14/92-PLG/DPSE(Part file)/1426 dated 31-08-2012, shall continue on ad hoc basis for a further period of seven months w.e.f. 31-08-2013 to 31-03-2014.

The above has concurrence of Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/II/11/38(1)/92/1553 dated 14-11-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anand Sherkhane, Director (IES), Addl. Secretary (Planning).

Panaji, 25th November, 2013.

**Department of Public Health****Order**

No. 4/1/2003-II/PHD/PF.II

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/11/30(4)/92/329 dated 20-11-2013, Government is pleased to promote Dr. Uday Kudalkar, Assistant Professor to the post of Associate Professor in Department of Anatomy in Goa Medical College, Bambolim on regular basis in the Pay Band—3, ₹ 15,600-39,100 with Grade Pay of ₹ 6,600/- and other allowances to be fixed as per rules with immediate effect.

Dr. Uday Kudalkar, shall be on probation for a period of two years.

The promotion is made against the post of Associate Professor which fell vacant due to retirement on superannuation of Dr. (Mrs.) Maria Prisca Dias w.e.f. 01-02-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardesai, Additional Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 2nd December, 2013.

◆◆◆

**Department of Revenue**
**Order**

No. 26/2/98-RD(PF)

- Read: 1) Order No. 26/2/98-RD(Part) dated 01-06-2010.  
 2) Order No. 26/2/98-RD(Part) dated 26-04-2011.  
 3) Order No. 26/2/98-RD(Part) dated 24-06-2011.  
 4) Order No. 26/2/98-RD(Part) dated 13-12-2011.  
 5) Order No. 26/2/98-RD(PF) dated 12-12-2012.  
 6) Order No. 26/2/98-RD(PF) dated 07-02-2013.  
 7) Order No. 26/2/98-RD(PF) dated 03-06-2013.

The Government of Goa is pleased to extend the ad hoc appointment of Shri Anand V. Vaigankar to the post of Inspector of Survey & Land Records (Group 'B' Gazetted) in the pay scale of ₹ 9,300-34,800 plus Grade Pay of ₹ 4,200/- for a further period of six months with effect from 01-12-2013



to 31-05-2014 and he is promoted & transferred to be posted in the Head Office of the Directorate of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

2. The above appointment shall be for a period of six months or till he is regularized by the GPSC or superannuates, whichever is earlier.

3. The above ad hoc appointment will not bestow the promoted officer any claim for regular appointment and the service rendered on ad hoc basis will not count for the purpose of seniority in that grade for eligibility for promotion to the next higher grade.

4. This issues with the concurrence of the Goa Public Service Commission, Panaji as conveyed vide its letter No. COM/II/11/29(1)/2013/1555 dated 14-11-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Anju S. Kerkar*, Under Secretary (Revenue-I) (Link).

Porvorim, 11th December, 2013.

#### Order

No. 23/19/2012-RD

Whereas, the Government of Goa, vide Notification No. 23/19/2012-RD dated 01-01-2013, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 45, dated 07-02-2013, notified that the land specified in the Schedule thereof (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for construction of Sewerage Treatment Plant (STP) at Baga, Calangute Village of Bardez Taluka (hereinafter referred to as the "said public purpose");

And whereas, the Government of Goa, considered the report made by the Collector under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act and on being satisfied that the said land is needed for the said public purpose, vide Notification No. 23/19/2012-RD dated 05-09-2013, issued under Section 6 of the said Act, and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 25 dated 19-09-2013, declared that the said land is required for the said public purpose.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894), the Government of Goa

hereby directs the Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa to take the order for acquisition of the said land.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Anju S. Kerkar*, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).

Porvorim, 12th December, 2013.

#### Notification

No. 22/32/2013-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of Coastal Security Police Station at Tiracol village in Pernem Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Pernem-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.



5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Dy. Collector/SDO, Pernem-Goa.
3. The Superintendent of Police (H.Q.), for Director General of Police, Panaji-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Pernem-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Pernem		Village: Pernem
Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
13/2 (part)	O:1. M/s. Leading Hotels Pvt. Ltd.	1250
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North : S. No. 13/2.		
South : River Tiracol.		
East : River Tiracol.		
West : Road.		
		Total: 1250

By order and in the name of the Governor  
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I)  
(Link).

Porvorim, 9th December, 2013.

#### Notification

No. 22/07/2013-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for Burial Ground/Crematorium/ Cemetery alongwith access ODP road at Cuchelim, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Bardez, Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Dy. Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa.
3. The Member Secretary, North Goa Planning & Development Authority, Mala, Panaji, Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Bardez, Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

## SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez

Village/City: Cunchelim  
(Mapusa)

Survey No./ P. T. Sheet No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3

10/3 (part)/1	Holder-Comunidade of Cunchelim. Lessee-Shripad Rama Naik.	10600
11/1(part)/2	Holder-Comunidade of Cunchelim. Lessee-Shripad Rama Naik.	23185
12/1(part)/2	Holder-Comunidade of Cunchelim. Lessee-Shripad Rama Naik.	1220

## Boundaries:

North : S. No. 10/3.

South : S. No. 12.

East : S. No. 10/3, S. No. 11.

West : S. No. 11, 12, Road.

Total: 35005

By order and in the name of the Governor  
of Goa.Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I)  
(Link).

Porvorim, 12th December, 2013.

## Notification

No. 23/36/2013-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of road from S. H. No. 1 to Vhadli Vanadevta Temple in Survey No. 1 at Mulgao in Bicholim Constituency.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the

said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Bicholim-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Dy. Collector/SDO, Bicholim-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, W.D. XXIII, PWD, Bicholim-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Bicholim-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

## SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bicholim

Village: Mulgao

Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
1/13 part	O: Sri Dev Vetal Trust. OR: Ravindra Vaman Shirodkar.	635

1	2	3
1/35 part	O: Sri Dev Vetel Trust. T: Narayan Keshav Dhond.	240
1/36 part	O: Sri Dev Vetel Trust. T: Kashinath Gangaram Mulgaoker.	225
1/37 part	O: Sri Dev Vetel Trust. T: Narayan Keshav Dhond.	60
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North : S. No. 1/33, 35, 36, 37, 13, Road.		
South : S. No. 1/13, 36, 35.		
East : S. H. No. 1/13.		
West : S. No. 1/33.		
		Total: 1160

By order and in the name of the Governor  
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).  
Porvorim, 12th December, 2013.

#### Notification

No. 23/32/2013-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of Tail end escape of R.B.M.C. of T.I.P. in Dhargal Village of Pernem Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), GIDC, Karaswada, Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), GIDC, Karaswada, Bardez-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, W.D. VII, GTIDC, Dhargal, Pernem-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), GIDC, Karaswada, Bardez-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Pernem

Village: Dhargal

Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
25/1	O: 1. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. T: Jagannath Gopal Prabhudessai.	265
252/1	O: Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. T: Jagannath Gopal Prabhudessai.	250
251/25	O: 1. Ravji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 2. Mahadev Ghananshaym Prabhudessai.	710

1	2	3
	3. Rukmini Ladkoba Prabhudessai.	
	4. Uttam Ramchandra Prabhudessai.	
	5. Madhusudan Nillkhant Prabhudessai.	
	6. Ghanasham Yeshwant Prabhudessai.	
	7. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai.	
	8. Jaiwantibai Ganpat Matkar.	
	9. Jairam Balkrishna Prabhudessai.	
	10. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai.	
	11. Ghanasham Vitthal Prabhudessai.	
	12. Laxman Narayan Prabhudessai.	
	13. Shivaji Govind Prabhudessai.	
	14. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai.	
	15. Ghanasham Pandurang Prabhudessai.	
	16. Shivram Balkrishna Prabhudessai.	
	17. Ravji Pandurang Prabhudessai.	
	18. Vaman Vishnu Prabhudessai.	
	19. Balkrishna Ladkoba Prabhudessai.	
	20. Baburao Narayan Prabhudessai.	
	21. Government of Goa, Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation, Dhargal, Pernem, Goa, Area 5200 M2.	
T:	Nil.	
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North : Nalah.		
South : S. No. 251/25.		
East : S. No. 251/1 & 25, S. No. 252/1.		
West : S. No. 247/29, S. No. 249/21, 22 & 47, S. No. 250.		
		Total: 1225

By order and in the name of the Governor  
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).  
Porvorim, 12th December, 2013.

## Corrigendum

No. 22/33/2013-RD

Read: Notification No. 22/33/2013-RD dated  
21-11-2013, regarding Land Acquisition  
for development of Government Village  
School Playground at Goa Velha in  
Tiswadi Taluka.

In the Notification referred to above, at line 2 of  
para 4, "the Director, Directorate of Sports & Youth  
Affairs, Campal, Panaji-Goa" shall be read as "the  
Special Land Acquisition Officer, Goa State  
Infrastructure Development Corporation (GSIDC),  
EDC House, Panaji-Goa".

The rest of the contents of above read  
Notification shall remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor  
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I)  
(Link).

Porvorim, 11th December, 2013.

## Department of Tourism

## Order

No. 5/S(2-221)2013-DT/3349

By virtue of the powers conferred upon me  
under Section 17(1)(a) of the Goa Registration  
of Tourist Trade Act, 1982, I, Smt. Pamela  
Mascarenhas, Prescribed Authority, hereby  
remove the name of M/s. Patron Travel and  
Communications, C/o Shri Shivraj V. Desai,  
Mastimol, Palolem, Canacona, Goa from the Travel  
Agency Register No. 11 vide page No. 38,  
maintained under the aforesaid Act, as the said  
Travel Agency has ceased to operate in premises  
Mastimol, Palolem, Canacona, Goa.

Consequently, the Certificate of registration  
No. 523 issued under the said Act stands  
cancelled.

Pamela Mascarenhas, Dy. Director of Tourism (S)  
& Prescribed Authority.

Panaji, 4th December, 2013.

## Order

No. 5/S(1-401)2013-DT/3350

By virtue of the powers conferred upon me  
under Section 10(1)(a) of the Goa Registration  
of Tourist Trade Act, 1982, I, Smt. Pamela

Mascarenhas, Prescribed Authority, hereby remove the name of Mercas Apartment, C/o. Smt. Gloria Rodrigues, H. No. 53/3, 1st Ward, Colva, Salcete-Goa, from the Registrar of Hotel keeper No. D-42 vide page No. 37 maintained under the aforesaid Act, as Smt. Gloria Rodrigues has ceased to operate the said Guest House in his premises bearing, H. No. 53/3, 1st Ward, Colva, Salcete-Goa.

Consequently, the Certificate of registration No. 2632/D issued under the said Act stands cancelled.

*Pamela Mascarenhas*, Dy. Director of Tourism (S) & Prescribed Authority.

Panaji, 4th December, 2013.

◆◆◆  
Department of Water Resources

Office of the Chief Engineer

—  
Notification

No. 207/CE-CPO/WRD/2013-14/955

Read: Notification No. 28-1/CE-WR-EO/2002-03/  
/1134 dated 21-03-2003.

In exercise of powers conferred by sub-sections 3 & 4 of Section 3 of the Goa Ground Water Regulation Act, 2002 (Goa Act 1 of 2002) and in partial modification to the Notification referred above, the Government is pleased to designate the Executive Engineer, Works Division XIII, Department of Water Resources, Gogal, Margao as "Ground Water Officer" in place of the Executive Engineer, Works Division II for Ponda, Mormugao, Salcete, Sanguem, Quepem and Canacona Talukas to implement and to carry out the purpose of the said Act. All the other provisions of the notification remain unchanged.

This Notification comes into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*S. T. Nadkarni*, Chief Engineer and ex officio Addl. Secretary (Water Resources)

Panaji, 11th December, 2013.

[www.goaprintingpress.gov.in](http://www.goaprintingpress.gov.in)

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,  
Government Printing Press,  
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 18.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA-280/380-12/2013.